CEDR Transnational Road Research Programme Call 2013: Energy efficiency

funded by Austria, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway, Slovenia and the United Kingdom,



REETS: Realistic Energy Efficient Tunnel Solutions

Initial review of technologies

Deliverable 1.1 July, 2015







CEDR Call 2013: Energy efficiency REETS Realistic Energy Efficient Tunnel Solutions

Catalogue of energy reducing options

Due date of deliverable: 30/06/2015 Actual submission date: 31/07/2015

Start date of project: 01/01/2015

End date of project: 30/06/2016

Author(s) this deliverable (in alphabetical order): Isabela Mocanu, AIT, Austria Philippe Nitsche, AIT, Austria James Peeling, TRL, United Kingdom John Rands, DfL, United Kingdom Matthew Wayman, TRL, United Kingdom

PEB Project Manager: Harald Buvik, Statens vegvesen, Norway

Version: final draft, 07.2015



Table of contents

Executive summary	i.
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives of REETS	1
1.2 Initial identification of technologies	1
1.3 Considerations	1
1.3.1 Description	1
1.3.2 Energy efficiency benefits	
1.3.3 Safety considerations	2
1.3.4 Driver considerations	2
1.3.5 Technological readiness level (TRL)	2
2 Technology summary reviews	3
3 Shortlisting process	С
3.1 Workshop format	
3.2 Selection process	1
3.3 Shortlist of technologies to take forward	2
4 Conclusions and next steps	3
5 Acknowledgement	
8 References	5
Annex A: Workshop attendeesA-	1
Annex B: Matrices from the selection processA-2	2



Executive summary

In terms of both construction and operation, tunnels are the most energy demanding of road infrastructure assets. The construction of a road tunnel is energy intensive due to the volume of excavation required and the energy embodied in the materials that form the structure of the tunnel. In operating a tunnel, energy is consumed to provide lighting, signage and ventilation for drivers, and to maintain drainage systems. A good deal of equipment is also installed to deal with emergency situations. With a tunnel's operational lifetime typically in excess of 100 years, energy usage through operation can soon outweigh that due to construction and therefore the former provided the focus for this project. The Realistic Energy Efficient Tunnel Solutions (REETS) project aims to enhance the energy efficiency of road tunnel operation, through the assessment, promotion and implementation of appropriate technologies.

REETS commenced with a wide-ranging review of energy-efficient technologies, considering those designed for tunnels, which could perhaps benefit from wider adoption, and those designed for other applications that have proved effective and which could be adapted for use in tunnels. Technologies were considered for each of the main services that together maintain the functionality of a tunnel, including: lighting, ventilation, drainage, and temperature regulation, with emphasis placed on the more energy demanding services. The review included technologies that reduce energy consumption, those that address energy provision and storage, and driver-oriented ones that help manage traffic flow. Such technologies might be implemented during the construction of the tunnel or be retrofitted in existing tunnels. Selection of the technologies for review was based on their capability and a consideration of whether they would maintain or enhance the current levels of safety and comfort experienced by drivers using tunnels on national road networks.

This document presents the findings of the review, as one-page technology descriptions, and describes the subsequent shortlisting process that was used to identify the most promising technologies. This was undertaken by an expert group at a workshop held on 2nd June 2015 at TRL, Crowthorne, UK. Experts were asked for their perspectives on the technologies identified and were invited to augment the list. A shortlisting exercise was then undertaken at the workshop, based on an evaluation of energy efficiency benefit *versus* risk (a composite indicator of readiness to market, applicability, safety and cost). Shortlisting yielded five technologies to take forward to in-depth assessment, two of which were combinations that had been evaluated separately:

- L03 Reducing threshold luminance
- L02 + L05 LED Lighting system and with adaptive controls
- DR02 Tunnel monitoring systems
- EP02 Supply voltage high voltage distribution incorporating voltage optimisation, dynamic UPS and avoiding dynamic oscillation
- SM01 incentivising energy efficiency



CEDR Call 2013: Programme name

1 Introduction

REETS is a project funded under the sixth transnational road programme of the Conference of European Road Directors (CEDR). The sixth programme, first initiated in 2013, funded five themes: Ageing Infrastructure Management, Traffic Management, Safety, Energy Efficiency and Roads & Wildlife. REETS falls under the Energy Efficiency theme and will conclude mid-2016.

1.1 Objectives of REETS

REETS set out to meet the following objectives:

- i. Identify solutions, or combinations of solutions, that would reduce overall energy consumption considering the whole environment of tunnels and their operation;
- ii. Assess the feasibility of these solutions, considering cost and carbon emissions associated with their installation and operation, as well as the effect on user safety and comfort;
- iii. Perform theoretical case studies to evaluate the costs and benefits that would result from implementation;
- iv. Carry out the groundwork that NRAs can use as the basis of a business case for trialling the most promising option(s).

This deliverable set out largely to meet the requirements of objective (i). The remainder of project will address objectives (ii) to (iv).

1.2 Initial identification of technologies

The project commenced by identifying technologies that could improve operational energy efficiency in road tunnels. These might improve the energy efficiency of any particular service, such as lighting, ventilation, drainage or emergency services. The efficiency of energy provision itself was also considered, as were 'driver-based' technologies aimed at improving traffic flow, whether sited in the tunnel or vehicle. The scope extended to technologies deployed during initial construction, and through retrofitting works during the operation of a tunnel. Technologies were identified by literature review or, in most cases, from the expert knowledge of the project consortium. A one-page summary was produced for each technology; the reviews are presented in Section 2.

The technologies were grouped into four categories to aid the review process. The number of technologies in each category is indicated:

- Energy provision & miscellaneous (10)
- Lighting (7)
- Ventilation & air quality (10)
- Automotive / driver-based technologies (10)

1.3 Considerations

In compiling the one-page summaries, five considerations were addressed - as described below.

1.3.1 Description

A short description of the technology.

1.3.2 Energy efficiency benefits

An initial assessment of potential energy efficiency benefits: Whenever possible, a quantitative assessment was made. Where relevant, the number of tonnes of carbon dioxide saved in a given timescale was related to a percentage saving against a baseline. A qualitative assessment was made when quantitative data was not available.

1.3.3 Safety considerations

Safety levels have to be at least maintained for a technology to warrant further consideration. Any potential positives or negatives in relation to safety were noted.

1.3.4 Driver considerations

Driver comfort or the 'overall driving experience' is an important consideration. There is potential for crossover between driver and safety aspects, but elements such as ride quality and lighting transitions (rather than lighting levels required for safety) are deemed to fall within the 'driver considerations' category. Any positive or negative issues in relation to these aspects were noted.

1.3.5 Technological readiness level (TRL)

The closeness of a technology to widespread deployment in both the general marketplace and in road tunnels was assessed by assigning a technological readiness level (TRL). TRL was assessed using a scale of 0-9, where 9 is fully proven and in widespread use and 0 is embryonic. The levels are defined in the Horizon 2020 Work Programme (Schild, 2014) and are reproduced in Table 1-1.

TRL 0	Idea. Unproven concept, no testing has been performed.
TRL 1	Basic research. Principles postulated and observed but no
	experimental proof available.
TRL 2	Technology formulation. Concept and application have been
	formulated.
TRL 3	Applied research. First laboratory tests completed; proof of concept.
TRL 4	Small scale prototype. built in a laboratory environment ("ugly"
	prototype).
TRL 5	
	Large scale prototype. tested in intended environment.
TRL 6	Prototype system. tested in intended environment close to expected
	performance.
	Demonstration system. operating in operational environment at pre-
TRL 7	
	commercial scale.
TRL 8	First of a kind commercial system. Manufacturing issues solved.
TRL 9	Full commercial application. Technology available for consumers.
	i un commercial application. Technology available foi consumers.

Table 1-1: Technological	Readiness Levels

2 Technology summary reviews

Γ

EP01 Energy provision: Tunnel field effect transistors	Gate electrode (TiN) Source (Heavily doped) Gate insulator (HfO ₂)
	Adapted from Nanowerk (2013)
Description	
	e energy efficient devices that can essentially switch on/off at ge of the regulation metal oxide semiconductor field effect
Energy efficiency benefit(s)	
CMOS technological compatibility. TFET terms of both energy and delay metrics.	cy by exploiting strained SiGe/Ge and III-V platforms, with s outperform other FETs when the voltage drops to 0.5 V in Since 2011, GNC has been developing a TFET with the goal b between 1 and 10 % of conventional transistors.
Safety considerations	
MOSFET. To obtain a higher current, in junction. The work described in Nanow achieve a stronger electric field.	e current passing through it is smaller than that through the t is important to apply a stronger electric field to the tunnel perk (2013) used a new channel and electrode structure to
Driver considerations	
-	
Technological readiness level (in relat	ion to)
General marketplace TRL 9	
Road tunnels TRL 7	
References/links E2 Switch (Energy efficient tunnel FET s	witches and circuits) (2014) – <u>http://www.e2switch.org/</u>
Mukundraian <i>et al.</i> (n.d.) – Ultra low pow	er circuit desian usina tunnel FETs

Mukundrajan et al. (n.d.) – Ultra low power circuit design using tunnel FETs

Nanowerk (2013) – TFET architecture shows potential for performance improvements (<u>http://www.nanowerk.com/news2/newsid=31881.php</u>)

EP02 Energy provision: Higher supply voltage cable distribution system



TRL 9

TRL 9

Description

An increase in the nominal voltage of serving power distribution for booster fans (for ventilation) can be beneficial in long tunnels and increase energy efficiency. This is because using long cables is expensive and can result in excessive voltage drops.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Projects in Hong Kong, India and Singapore have used tunnel booster fans with increased voltage of 660 V/690 V. Results have shown increased costs for voltage, but superior savings for reductions in the use of power cables for fans. Cheung and Lai (2014) compared low and high voltage schemes, and found total cost savings of \$112,000 by using the high voltage scheme. To further improve energy efficiency savings, variable-voltage, variable-frequency (VVVF) drives can be considered for fan installations to achieve a steady current ramping for different fan speeds.

Safety considerations

Additional effort may be required to design and construct upgraded equipment, especially if voltage classifications and certifications cannot be met by off-the-shelf units.

Driver considerations

-

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace

Road tunnels

References/links

Cheung and Lai (2014) – Cost effective power scheme for tunnel booster fans



Modiri and Noferesti (n.d.) – Tehran Reselat tunnel innovations (http://lampx.tugraz.at/~tunnel2014/history/Tunnel_2010_CD/pdf_Dateien/13_Modiri.pdf)

Rockwell Automation (2012) – PowerPoint presentation on soft starters

EP04 Energy provision: Surface treatments and coatings to pumps



Description

Surface treatments and coatings can be applied to drainage pumps during their refurbishment to maintain and improve their efficiency. Polymer and epoxy-based coatings have been designed to reduce friction, and resist erosion and corrosion through their hydrophobic properties and low surface energy. This can help to reduce internal turbulence and improve hydraulic efficiency over a wide range of flow rates.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Pumps use electricity and typically, a surface treatment or coating will improve the energy efficiency of a pump by about 3 % compared to an untreated pump. Refurbished pumps may have efficiencies higher than new pumps that do not have surface treatments or coatings. Small efficiency gains can have a big impact on operating costs. A case study was presented by Xia (2002) from Alabama where four pumps were coated with a polymeric coating. A 12 % decrease in electrical usage from 100 to 88 amps was witnessed, which equated to savings of roughly \$28,000 within a year. Therefore, payback period are often quite short.

Safety considerations

Polymer coatings can help to protect new pumps from erosion and corrosion.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 7
Road tunnels	TRL 6

References/links	
Industrial Equipment News (n.d.) – Anticorrosion coating for improving efficiency	
Robertson Technology (n.d.) – Energy efficiency of pumps	
Xia (2002) – Polymeric coatings for pumps	



BOSCH (n.d.) – Stop/start technology for cars

Gabriel and Weyhausen (2015) – Stop/start technology using hydraulics

Rexroth BOSCH Group (2013) - Stop/start technology for construction machines

EP06 Energy provision: Solar roofed tunnels using photovoltaic systems



Description

Photovoltaic systems, which produce electricity, can be constructed on tunnel roofs. An example is a project in Belgium where 16,000 solar panels were constructed on a two mile stretch of tunnel between Paris and Amsterdam in 2011. The tunnel was originally constructed to protect the rail line from falling trees. In the UK, Blackfriars bridge has been equipped with over 4,400 solar panels.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Photovoltaic systems use no fuel, and can produce electricity and reduce carbon emissions. The road tunnel in Belgium was anticipated to produce 3300 MWh of electricity per year, which is equivalent to that needed to power all trains in Belgium for one day per year and also help power Antwerp station. This project was also estimated to provide CO₂ emission reductions of 2400 tonnes of per year.

Safety considerations

Solar panels offer no obstructions and are relatively easy to install. The construction of the solar panels in Belgium took 12 weeks. They last between 30 to 40 years with the efficiency tailing off after 20 years. Recycling plans are necessary for dealing with the panels at the end of their life-cycle.

Driver considerations

7

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels	TRL 8

References/links

Carrington (2011) – News article about solar roofed tunnel in Belgium

BUILD (n.d.) – payback time of solar panels

Solar Century (n.d.) – Information about Blackfriars solar roof

EP07 Energy provision: Geothermal tunnel energy lining	Energy Segments Adapted from REHAU (r	
Description		
Geothermal tunnel energy lining has the advantages of being able to harvest ground source energy, heat and cool connected buildings, and potentially cool the tunnel in case of heat build-up through tunnel operation. Thermal activation of walls can be achieved by implementing an energy lining segment with the insertion of absorber pipes made of high pressure, cross-linked polyethylene, which can be utilised for heat exchange. The pipes are connected to a heat pump at the surface.		
Energy efficiency benefit(s)		
Extracted energy can be supplied for above ground use offering carbon savings for buildings being supplied with the heat energy. For example, Nicholson <i>et al.</i> (2014) found that 10 W/m ² tunnel heat extraction provides enough heat to supply a continuous summer low temperature demand of 250 kW achieving carbon savings of over 40 %. In terms of energy use, there is a 50 Ka pressure drop for pipe fittings and 100 Ka pressure drop for the heat exchanger. Implementing geothermal plants when constructing new underground tunnels produces few additional costs with increases of just 2 %.		
Safety considerations		
The absorber pipes are robust against notches, scratches and punctual loads during production and installation. The durability of the pipes is in line with the design life of tunnels (about 120 years).		
Driver considerations		
-		
Technological readiness level (in relation to)		
General marketplace TRL 7		
Road tunnels		TRL 7
References/links		

BINE Information Services (2013) Geothermics in tunnels

DETAIL (2011) Pilot geothermal project in Jenbach, Austria

Nicholson et al. (2014) Thermal tunnel energy segments for Crossrail, UK

EP08 Energy provision: Hybrid power solutions for emergency lighting of tunnels



Description

Back-up systems for emergency lighting can utilise hybrid power supplied from renewable sources of energy. The system is designed so that when the batteries are fully charged, power can be supplied directly to the grid. The combination of wind and solar energy works well because solar energy is most pronounced in the summer and generally, wind energy more so in the winter, therefore complementing each other.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Dzhusupova (2012) noted that the average cost of wind turbines for the generation of wind power is between 900 and 1150 \notin /kW, and the average cost of photovoltaic panels for the generation of solar power is between 1500 and 3000 \notin /kWp. The payback period for wind turbines is between 8 and 10 years, while it is much higher for photovoltaic panels at between 18.5 and 54.6 years, depending on the investment cost.

Safety considerations

Wind turbines used for generation of wind energy can impact visually.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels	TRL 7

References/links

Dzhusupova (2012) – Wind and solar energy for energy use in tunnels

Mišák et al. (2011) – Power solutions for emergency lighting





Description

The Optidrive VTC drive provides energy efficient pumping through a range of measures such as advanced sleep and wake functions, eco vector operation and pump blockage detection. It is specifically designed for variable torque applications. Optidrive VTC drives have been used in Dublin Port tunnel to evacuate potential stormwater incidents.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Optidrive VTC drives can optimise energy savings in pumping applications, while also providing additional benefits such as reduced installation and maintenance costs. The sleep function, which automatically switches the drive off when not required, is one of the functions that contributes to the increased energy savings. Optidrive systems typically reduce energy consumption by 2-3 % compared to standard AC drives. Furthermore, the use of film capacitors can reduce losses and improve efficiency compared to standard AC drives.

Safety considerations

Particular functions on the Optidrive VTC systems allow the pumps to be started in a safely controlled manner to ensure consistent filling and, pressurisation of pipe work and systems. The drives also have a blockage detection system and can trigger a programmed cleaning cycle to clear them.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels	TRL 9

References/links	
Invertek Drives (n.d.) – Eco Optidrive pump control systems	
Invertek Drives (n.d.) – Case study from a tunnel in Ireland	

EP10 Energy provision: Water mist technology



Description

High pressure water mist technology has been used to provide tunnel fire protection. It comprises of a system of permanently open jets, which are divided into zones of roughly three metres in length. In the event of a fire, an electronic control activates the valves in the zone above the fire and the two neighbouring zones. The interaction of water mist technology with other systems such as ventilation and smoke evacuation systems are particularly crucial in the event of a fire.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Water mist technology can provide benefits over sprinkler technology such as superior cooling and heat blocking, and reduced water consumption. This is because in the event of a fire, only the designated zones use water. Furthermore, smaller drops for the water mist technology have a large specific surface compared to sprinkler technology. Water mist technology is made of high-grade stainless steel and can help to increase the system lifespan.

Safety considerations

Water mist technology needs regular testing and maintenance to meet the acceptable safety standards. An extinguishing system was tested under real conditions in the A86 tunnel in France when a car caught fire in 2010. The system performed as expected and the tunnel returned to normal operation 90 minutes later. However, the technology did not work as well in the Fløffjell tunnel in Norway where problems were encountered such as the formation of ice in winter months and a high number of false alarms.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to) General marketplace TRL 7 Road tunnels TRL 7

References/links German Ministry of Economics and Technology (n.d) – Safety of life in tunnels, Fixed fire fighting systems

Vuorisalo (2008) – Implementation of water mist systems in tunnels with project case studies.

L01 Lighting: Solar powered LED lighting



Description

Solar panels contributing to the lighting and associated equipment power requirement.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

With LED luminaires having an already low power requirement, sourcing power from a suitable solar panel array could contribute to total power requirement.

Solar power could be utilised to maintain any UPS system charge levels.

Efficacy dependent on tunnel location and suitability of site. As an estimate would envisage a 10 % saving on standard energy supply.

(Note this could perhaps be incorporated with Technology 10 Threshold Extension).

Safety considerations

If used for lighting source, any changeover in energy source requires being instant and indistinguishable to drivers.

Driver considerations

Drivers should be immune from any disturbances of the lighting scheme.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace

Road tunnels

TRL 9 TRL 8

References / links

Premier Construction (2011), Ridden (2011)

Comment:

Any interior lighting would be an appropriate candidate for solar power sourcing as it is lower power and energised 24/7 at consistent day/night levels.

L02 Lighting: LED lighting system



Description

Light-emitting diode (LED) luminaire system for both tunnel interior and boost lighting requirements with the ability to control the output to meet required lighting levels.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

The use of LED tunnel luminaires significantly reduces energy consumption compared with traditional light sources employed (HID/Fluorescent). There are already many examples of the use of LED's in tunnels installed in recent years. With the continued development of LED's it would be expected that improved savings would continue to be made. Energy savings of up to 70 % over HID have been realised to date.

Controlled by an intelligent control system continuous dynamic dimming of the LED's is available to more closely match requirements. Power fail UPS systems can be of a reduced capacity due to the lower power requirement of an LED system.

Safety considerations

With an appropriate installed layout, LEDs provide good uniformity with the ability to dim to required stage levels for all tunnel zones as well as an 'instant-on' ability improving safety in the event of a power interruption. With sufficient lumen design headroom, lighting levels can be instantly increased in the event of an emergency. The utilisation of white light improves overall visibility and aids driver's visual task.

Driver considerations

LEDs improve driver's overall visibility potentially reducing response times for drivers due to the full spectrum light source better assisting in replicating the eyes photopic state.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels (Presently being employed in almost all new & refurbished tunnels)	TRL 8

References / links

Dzhusupova et al. (2012), GE Lighting (2013), Guo et al. (2011), Traffic Wales (2015), Phillips (n.d.), Thorn (n.d.) Products: Philips / TRT Lighting (2015)

Projects: Traffic Wales (2014), Merseytravel (2015), Coventry (2015)

L03 Lighting: Transparent Structures



Description

Transparent tension structure extending threshold zone.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

The threshold zone is the most power onerous zone of the tunnel, energised during the day to high levels where any use of natural lighting via transparent structures (or sun-tight screens) would significantly reduce the quantity of high power luminaires required in this zone. Energy savings of 100 % for any light source used in the Threshold Zone could be viable.

Reduces initial and lifetime costs of lighting scheme.

(Structure could potentially accommodate Solar panel Technology 7)

Safety considerations

Any structure should be secure and able to cater for all seasons, environment and location. Safe maintenance methodology required.

Driver considerations

Approaching a tunnel the driver will have a more ambient relative lighting perspective view of the portal (no 'Black-Hole' effect).

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels (sunlight screens used historically)	TRL 8

References / links

Sun-tight screens: Peña García et al. (2010), Gil-Martin et al. (2011)

Merseytravel: Kingsway tunnel with sun-tight screens. Screens provide for total requirement of the Threshold zone (except night/basic day lighting which is installed throughout the screen section). No reported issues of road icing beneath or of icicles forming on structure (reported perceived issues) for last 30 years. Only reported issue has been the deteriorating integrity of the concrete structure where there have been incidents of debris falling on to vehicles, mitigation measures have been employed.

Transparent tension structures:

International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ'10) Granada (Spain), 23rd to 25th March, 2010

Energy saving in road tunnels by means of transparent tension structures.

L04 Lighting: Tunnel lining panels



Description

Self-cleaning tunnel lining panels.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Tunnel walls need to be illuminated up to a height of 2 m at a percentage of the road levels dependent on tunnel class. Any improvement in diffuse reflective properties will aid wall performance and minimise luminaire requirements at design / build phase.

Do not anticipate any significant energy saving as the road performance is the key element. Should there be any rearrangement of luminaires in consideration of wall performance energy savings would be \sim 2.5 % at best.

Safety considerations

A good wall performance prevents drivers becoming 'wall-shy' thus utilising the full driving lane aiding correct lane discipline.

Driver considerations

Good wall performance enhances driver view of any potential hazards especially within a tunnel having road curvature by contrasting object(s) against the walls.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)		
General marketplace	TRL 9	
Road tunnels (currently available and employed in fire protection initiatives)	TRL 8	

References / links

Vitra Group (n.d.)

http://www.vitragroup.com/tunnel-lining-overview-2/

L05 Lighting: Active / adaptive lighting



TRL 9

Description

Close control of the lighting installation within the tunnel in accord with that of the approach ambient lighting levels.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Tunnel lighting levels are determined at design phase; current intelligent control systems are set-up to follow these fixed design levels. A closed logic feedback of what the actual lighting levels are within the tunnel would ensure actual levels are in accordance with requirements thereby not causing over/under lighting.

Energy saving could potentially be realised in the region of 10 % due to better matching and monitoring of required levels for primarily the boost lighting.

Constant light output (CLO) control gear would ensure no initial over lighting occurs by accommodating constant maintenance factor (MF) against life profile of light source.

Safety considerations

Employing closed loop feedback would ensure the correct lighting levels are attained at all times.

Driver considerations

Original lighting design maintained throughout lifetime of installation aiding driver's visual task.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace

Road tunnels (tunnel interior sensors of luminance or illuminanceTRL 8need to be applied; CLO technology currently available.TRL 8

References / links

Arnold and Hoyland (2011); Ceriotti et al. (2011)

Internal meters could be luminance or illuminance meters with pros/cons for each being assessed.

Fixed sensors have been tabled for some time as well as should they be luminance meters or illuminance meters (cheaper) correlated to road luminance values. Luminance meters would also consider road condition, new / old etc. however, illuminance meters would purely sense luminaire output.

L06 Lighting: Fuzzy algorithm lighting



TRL 9

Description

Vehicle detection and throughput statistics.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

For less trafficked tunnels, lighting would be at a low standby level until an approaching vehicle is detected when lighting (LED) would be increased to an appropriate level for the time of day and for the duration of transiting traffic, reverting to standby levels where there is no traffic detected.

Dependent on the traffic volume energy savings in excess of 50 % could be achieved.

Safety considerations

Standby levels to make drivers aware of tunnel ahead. Triggering of functional level to be established in advance of the vehicles sight stopping distance (SSD) from the entrance portal.

Driver considerations

Design lighting levels to be achieved before driver enters the tunnel and maintained during transit.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace

Road tunnels (only considered viable for very low trafficked	TRL 8
tunnels; technology available for application)	

References / links

Zeng et al. (2011)

Navada & Adiga (2015) Applications of Fuzzy-FPGA systems and Lighting Control systems – A Review

L07 Lighting: Metal halide lamps



TRL 9

Description

Use of Metal Halide lamps in conjunction with photo catalytic paint.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Would question any energy benefits over LED.

It would be anticipated that energy usage (Over LED) would increase at least 5 fold due to lamp technology used and indirect lighting distribution.

Safety considerations

Question if sufficient road luminance is produced from such indirect lighting.

Driver considerations

Report does show a good uniformity albeit at low levels.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

Deed turnels (eensi	منطئه برمار	ماريتم	~1	L'arla tha ar	 ما با م
General marketplace					

Road tunnels (consider	this style	of I	ighting	more	akin	to	TRL 8
aesthetic lighting requirer	nents)						

References / links

disano illuminaxione (2007)



Hardwired Intelligent Road Studs aid traffic management by providing guidance and warnings to drivers, thus giving them time to react accordingly. In the context of tunnels, they can be used for lane delineation. They use LED technology and are effective in all lighting conditions with up to 90-900 meters visibility.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

This technology, using low energy consumption LEDs, requires low maintenance and improves visibility for drivers navigating the tunnel.

Intelligent Road Studs facilitate dynamic lane marking, both at the entrances and within the bores of the tunnels, giving operators the flexibility to maximize the continuous flow of traffic to increase road capacity. This leads to easing congesting, smoothing traffic flow and reducing pollution that would results from queuing traffic. Therefore an energy efficiency benefit would come from the decrease in ventilation rate.

Safety considerations

Studs can be used to enhance lane delineation and make the tunnel more clearly defined and allow for contra-flow arrangements in tunnels. In addition, the system can be used to provide advance warning of incidents. Other safety considerations include: brighter, more clearly defined path of light guiding drivers through the tunnel, reduced congestion and reduced collision potential.

Driver considerations

Intelligent Road Studs help the driver by increasing visibility of lane delineation. Studies also show an increase in preview time of 3 to 4 seconds, when vehicle traveling at 60 mph. Reduced congestion, road rage and collision potential.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels	TRL 8

References / links

Astucia Traffic Safety Systems (2014). IRS2 Hardwired Intelligent Road Studs

Astucia (2010). Case Study – Astucia Hardwired Bi-Directional Studs installed in the Hinhead Tunnel

Astucia (2010). Case Study – Astucia IRS1 Intelligent Hardwired Road Studs installed to create contra-flow at Blackwall Tunnel



Schneider Electric (2012). SmartMobility Tunnel & Bridge

DR03 Driver technology: Vehicle platooning



(source: Jootel et al, 2013)

Description

A vehicle platoon, also called road train, is led by a vehicle, which is driven by a professional driver, while the following vehicles are under automated longitudinal and lateral control. However, persons in the following vehicles should still be able to take back manual control in the event of a controlled or unforeseen dissolving of the platoon. The system is realised by using environment sensors and vehicle-to-vehicle communication to electronically couple the vehicles. The main goal is to reduce headway distances and hence increase a road's capacity. It could be used both for HGV and passenger cars.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Studies showed that the effects on energy efficiency are diverse: On the one hand, harmonised speed and traffic flow would result in reduced emissions and lower headway distances (i.e. 8m gap) achieve fuel savings from 7 to 15%. On the other hand, platoons would increase the capacity of a road, i.e. the vehicle density would be increased, which affects the ventilation demand of a tunnel.

Safety considerations

There is little research on the safety impacts of platooning. Due to automation, the risk of rear-end collisions can be reduced for the vehicles in the platoon, but aspects such as unexpected behaviour of other drivers (e.g. lane change before motorway exits) and safe procedures for handing over control must be considered.

Driver considerations

Drivers travelling in a following vehicle can use the time to work or take a rest. A reliable and safe handover procedure must be implemented if the platoon is being dissolved.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 5/6
Road tunnels	TRL 5/6

References / links

Jootel et al. (2013). SARTRE Project (2009 - 2012)

ERTRAC Automated Driving Roadmap (2015)



References / links

ASFINAG (2010). Acoustic tunnel monitoring (AKUT)

DR05 Driver technology: Invehicle tunnel information system



TRL 5

Description

An in-vehicle tunnel info system was developed as part of the EU SAFE-TUNNEL project that can provide visual information and warnings to drivers, through on-board devices. A visual map presents the vehicle status, traffic flow, remaining time to exit and the relative location in the tunnel. Also speed information and speed warnings are given. In an emergency event (such as an accident or fire), an alert is presented using icons that indicate what happened, where and what should the driver do. Nearby emergency exists are also presented on the visual map.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

The system can increase safety, traffic flow and decrease congestion, as well speed up the action of an emergency rescue/event. This could lead to an energy benefit, through less ventilation and less repairs/maintenance.

Safety considerations

In-vehicle information systems have been studied in depth, in terms of the effects on safety – relative to Human Machine Interface (HMI) – specific distraction. Within SAFE-TUNNEL project, it was concluded that the in-vehicle info system increased driving safety, through more information, as long as it doesn't create superfluous distraction. An interference with lane stability was observed during the study.

Driver considerations

The study showed that drivers responded well to the HMI and were content with having more information in the context of tunnel driving, as it builds certainty and sense of control and can reduce anxiety.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace

Road tunnels

References / links

Renault Vehicule Industrielle et al. (2005). SAFE-TUNNEL project (2001-2005)

Vaschitz et al. (2007). In-vehicle information systems to improve traffic safety in road tunnels

DR06 Driver technology: In-vehicle signage



(source: Malone, 2014)

Description

A vehicle-infrastructure link is used to provide information or a warning to a driver of the content of an upcoming road sign. This can be extended to inform drivers about other oncoming features of the road such as curves, roundabouts, traffic calming installations and road markings such as segregated cycle lanes or bus lanes. This application is often referred to as visibility enhancement - giving the driver information about situations beyond or outside the direct line-of-sight.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

In-Vehicle Signage has a positive impact on the environment, due to lower speeds and management of traffic flow. Implicitly, this may lead to a decrease in fuel consumption and emissions. Quantitative evaluation is complex and depends on the level of service and information given to the driver (speed, curve warning etc.). The in-vehicle signage system itself does not cause additional energy costs to be borne by the tunnel operator.

Safety considerations

By providing speed information, the driver will decrease his speed and become more aware that there is a disruption in the traffic ahead, which plays a crucial role when approaching or driving in a tunnel. In the project COBRA, a literature synthesis resulted in a reduced number of fatalities by -7.2 % and a reduced number of injuries by -4.8 %.

Driver considerations

In general, in-vehicle signage is expected to have mainly positive effects on driver behaviour due to its support in perceiving road signs. However, issues such as diminished attention when the system is not active, over-confidence and hence insufficient observation of real-time circumstances must be taken into account when assessing the system's effectiveness.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 8
Road tunnels	TRL 8

References / links

Malone (2014). Drive C2X project (2011-2014)

Mocanu et al. (2012). COBRA project (2011-2013)

Kulmala et al. (2008). CODIA project (2007-2008)

DR07 Driver technology: Automatic headlights



(source: Ruane, 2009)

Description

Automatic headlights use various sensors (e.g. ambient photoelectric sensor located at the base of the windscreen / top of the windshield) to detect the brightness of the environment, the road curvature or other vehicles and can automatically turn on, shade or rotate.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Automatic Headlights improve visibility in tunnels and aid the driver to navigate. This could lead to smoother traffic, less congestion, etc. However, until all concerns regarding the headlights activation thresholds, the drivers will either turn on the lights manually, or slow down at the beginning of the tunnel, waiting for the automatic system to turn on.

Safety considerations

Many vehicle manufacturers express that automatic headlights are designed to immediately turn on the position lights and headlights in a tunnel. However, there is concern that the system may not react in time, but after 50-200 m (depending on vehicle's speed), due to the fact that the sensor threshold may not be met immediately. This could lead to potential safety issues, due to poor visibility.

Driver considerations

The driver could rely on the vehicle's automatic headlight system for turning on the lights. If this happens with a delay, then the driver may feel insecure and unsafe.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplaceTRL 9Road tunnelsTRL 9

References / links

Sensata Technologies (2012). Automatic headlight control

DR08 Driver technology: Forward collision avoidance



(source: Raphael, 2011)

Description

Rear-end collisions are the most frequent collision types in uni-directional tunnels, mainly due to wrong human behaviour (e.g. insufficient distance) and inattention. Forward collision warning and avoidance systems (also often called Automated Emergency Braking systems) were developed to reduce crash risk by either warning the driver of a potential hazard (e.g. sudden braking of the front car) or by recognizing an upcoming impact and intervening immediately. Collision avoidance is realised by environment sensors (Lidar, computer vision, Radar etc.) coupled with car-to-car communication technologies.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Besides its safety impacts, this technology is predicted to have a positive effect on traffic flow harmonization and hence fuel consumption and emissions. Studies in this field are limited, but regarding energy efficiency in tunnels, forward collision avoidance is expected to reduce ventilation rate as well as energy costs, which incur due to crash response activities.

Safety considerations

Forward collision avoidance technologies that sense other road users and intervene when a collision is imminent are likely to produce large reductions in the number of crashes. Even allowing for a moderate level of unreliability, up to 30 % of all fatal crashes and up to 40 % of all injury crashes could be prevented in vehicles that are equipped with such systems. The greatest benefit is predicted to result from systems that combine a long-range detection system with a short-range, wide-angle system.

Driver considerations

For drivers, forward collision avoidance systems bring advanced assistance for fulfilling the driving task. Risks due to human error and inattention can be minimized and driving comfort is increased. Possible drawbacks of this technology include diminished attention when the system is not active, over-confidence and hence insufficient observation of real-time circumstances.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)General marketplaceTRL 5*/8Road tunnelsTRL 5*/8

References / links

Anderson et al. (2012). Potential benefits of forward collision avoidance technology

* Cooperative collision avoidance has a lower TRL.

DR09 Driver technology: Intelligent speed adaptation (ISA)



(source: Schulze, 2014)

Description

ISA is a system that monitors a vehicle's speed and the speed limit on the road being used and intervenes if the vehicle is detected exceeding the speed limit. An ISA can have additional features to influence driver's behaviour by e.g. haptic gas pedal. Three types of ISA can be distinguished:

- 1. Informative case in which the driver receives information about the speed limit and various types of warning signals (audio, video).
- 2. Warning where the driver is alerted of exceeding the speed limit through an active warning, e.g. haptic accelerator pedal.
- 3. Intervening in case of exceeding speed, the system takes over and limits the speed through automated braking.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

A positive impact of ISA is the potential of decreasing congestion by aiding traffic flow. Implicitly this may lead to a decrease in fuel consumption and emissions. Various studies (ITS test beds, SafeCar etc.) estimate an average reduction of 1.5 % to 3.1 % in CO2, approx. 2 % in NOx and 2 % in fuel consumption. With regards to tunnels, this would result in a decreased ventilation rate. The ISA system itself does not cause additional energy costs to be borne by the tunnel operator.

Safety considerations

By providing information regarding the speed limits and warning the driver through various methods, the risk associated with speeding will be decreased. Several studies have been conducted to assess the reduction of accidents and injury, resulting in an average potential of -5.5 % fatalities and -4.6 % injury accidents. Less speeding will result in a more harmonized traffic flow, which is particularly relevant for tunnel safety.

Driver considerations

ISA supports drivers' compliance with the speed limit. The informative ISA warns the driver and lets her/him decide whether or not to slow down. By using a warning/haptic ISA, maintaining the speed is possible, but less comfortable because of the counter pressure. In the case of an intervening ISA, drivers may choose to switch the system on or off. However, some negative effects revealed by experiments include diminished attention or compensation by driving faster when the system is not active, over-confidence and hence insufficient observation of real-time circumstances.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels	TRL 9

References / links

Driscoll et al. (2007). LAVIA project

Regan et al. (2006). Final Results of the TAC SafeCar Project

Lai (2012). How much benefit does Intelligent Speed Adaptation deliver: An analysis of its potential contribution to safety and environment



General marketplace	TRL 5-6*/9
Road tunnels	TRL 5-6*/9

References / links

Trommer and Holt (2011). eCoMove project (2010 - 2014)

Hibberd *et al.* (2014). ecoDriver project (2011 – 2015)

*Applicable for cooperative technology

VA01 Ventilation & air quality: Visibility and air quality control sensors



Description

Tunnel sensors can be integrated into an air quality management system to trigger ventilation systems when high concentrations of pollutants and/or poor visibility levels are experienced within a tunnel. Sensors monitor key parameters such as CO, NO levels and visibility.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Tunnel sensors provide energy and cost savings because they limit ventilation control to only when it is necessary, which reduces electrical energy consumption compared to ventilations systems that are running continuously. Maintenance and operation costs are limited with cleaning required annually. Operation costs are low because there is no air aspiration system and no test gases/ambient air required.

Safety considerations

Control systems are designed to withstand the harsh environments within road tunnels. Measurements are able to ensure high operational safety and a checking system is available for the purpose of monitoring the visibility measurements.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels	TRL 9

References/links

Dzhusupova (2012) - Visibility control systems

SICK Sensor Intelligence (n.d) – Air quality tunnel sensors in road tunnels
VA02 Ventilation & air quality: Passive ventilation with vertical shafts



Description

By-pass type installation is used to provide longitudinal ventilation in long tunnels. Polluted exhaust air is extracted out of the tunnel and fresh air is re-injected into the tunnel. Fans are used to supplement the input of fresh air (discussed in jet fans one-pager – VA07).

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Passive ventilation utilises naturally existing air currents to refresh air – gradients in air pressure are not artificially produced through the use of fans or other suction devices. The use of electrical energy is avoided as a result.

Safety considerations

The extraction of polluted exhaust air can impact negatively on the outside environment. The question remains as to whether passive ventilation can solely be used to refresh air to the levels required, or whether it needs to be used in conjunction with more active air quality management systems.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to)	
General marketplace	TRL 9
Road tunnels	TRL 9

References/links

CETU (2010) - Bypass-type installations

	Gases without smoke particles	
VA03 Ventilation & air quality: Electrostatic precipitators	Positively charged collecting plate Positively charged collecting plate Regatively charged metal grid	
Description	Adapted from BBC, 2014	
Description Electrostatic precipitators are units designed to trap and remove dust particles from a gas exhaust stream by applying a high-voltage electrostatic charge. Particles are captured on metal plates with opposite charges as the air moves between the plates.		
Energy efficiency benefit(s)		
Electrostatic precipitators use electricity; Curran (2001) estimated that they consume 1 GWh per year assuming full usage. It was stated that they can provide significant energy savings compared to unfiltered tunnels (estimated at 32 GWh per annum by RTA). Electrostatic precipitators require maintenance as the plates should be cleaned after between 50 and 200 hours of operation to maintain efficient operation. The dust that is collected also needs to be disposed of. Curran (2001) estimated that a tunnel serving 70-80,000 vehicles per day would accumulate between 0.7 and 1 cubic metres of waste per month and weighing approximately 1.2 tonnes.		
Safety considerations		
The primary aim of electrostatic precipitators is to improve visibility in tunnels by removing soot in the air. They also remove harmful pollutants from the air. Efficiency is high for large particles (>10 μ m in size), but it is much lower for smaller particles (<2.5 μ m) with an efficiency range between 54 and 91 %. Examples where efficiency has not been as good were linked to high airflow rates where particles group together and can be dislodged back into the airstream.		
Driver considerations		
Electrostatic precipitators help to improve	•	
Technological readiness level (in relation to)		
General marketplace	TRL 8	
Road tunnels	TRL 8	
References/links		
Curran (2001)		
Dzhusupova (2012)		
Fuji Electric Global (n.d.)		

VA04 Ventilation & air quality: Pulsed corona air purifying system



Description

Pulsed corona technology utilises "cold" plasma to convert exhaust gases into harmless ones. A corona is a field (plasma) of active particles, electrons and free radicals that essentially create 'mini thunderbolts' capable of removing NO_X and SO_2 from flue gas. This technique is often used in combination with mechanical filters.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

The technology is more energy efficient than non-pulsed cold plasma purifiers as it has the ability to chemically destroy NOx. It utilises electric energy but requires hardly any processing water or additional chemicals. Dzhusupova (2012) noted that one corona plasma unit is estimated to consume between 75 and 150 kW of electricity with a cost of between €150k and €200k. Active coal beds are required to remove ozone created from the corona reactor and this increases energy use with a pressure drop of 250 Pa (technology with a higher pressure drop will consume more energy). Operation and maintenance costs start at €50k per year for a 3 unit corona plasma reactor at 30 kW.

Safety considerations

Pulsed corona technology can remove up to 90 % of $PM_{2.5} - PM_{10}$. The efficiency reduces to 60 % when the particle size is less than 1 μ m. Studies on the application of this technology found no detrimental impacts on the health of tunnel users.

Driver considerations

This technology can help to improve visibility for drivers in tunnels.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 3
Road tunnels	TRL 3

References/links

Dzhusupova (2012)	

HMVT (n.d.)

HMVT (n.d.) – Pilot project in Eindhoven

PlasTEP (n.d.)

VA05 Ventilation & air quality: Denitrification by adsorption method



 $10 \text{mm} \times 15 \text{mm}$

Adsorbent pellets (Adapted from PIARC, 2008)

Description

Denitrification by adsorption describes a process where air pollutants are adsorbed to a bed of absorbents and then desorbed. Adsorbent agents include active carbon, zeolite and polymers or a combination. In order to make the process continuous, adsorbents may be installed in a rotating drum with different working sections. They can also be in pellet form where they are packaged in a tank made of fibreglass-reinforced plastic.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

For denitrification by adsorption using zeolite, Abromaitis *et al.* (2011) estimated the consumption of 1.3 kWh of electricity and 2.772 kgCO₂ equiv. for GWP. Contrasting figures for the pressure drop have been reported; CETU (2010) reported less than 600 Pa, while Dzhusupova (2012) noted 250 Pa when active coal is used. The performance capacity of the system is not as efficient as the absorption method; when pellets are used, frequent regeneration is required every 12 days using a sodium sulphate solution in situ.

Safety considerations

Denitrification removes harmful NO₂ emissions from the environment with removal rates often in excess of 90 %. The usage and/or disposal of zeolite contributed to relatively high contributions for ozone layer depletion (2.930 kg R11 equiv.), acidification (6.499 kg SO₂ equiv.) and human toxicity (712.025 kg DCB equiv.) compared to other air cleaning technologies such as biofiltration.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 6
Road tunnels	TRL 6

References / links

Abromaitis et al. (2011) - LCA about denitrification by adsorption

CETU (2010)

Dzhusupova (2012)

Panasonic (n.d.) – (<u>http://panasonic.net/ecosolutions/air/tunnel/denitrification.html</u>)

PIARC (2008)

VA06 Ventilation & air quality: Mechanical filters with nanofibre technology



Description

Mechanical filters utilising nano-fibre technology (Ultra-web®) can efficiently remove particulate matter less than 1 micron. These filters can work alone or with other technology such as electrostatic precipitators or corona plasma technology.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Mechanical filters have high filtration efficiency and dust holding capacity. They have low pressure drop characteristics (roughly 75 Pa) compared to other air cleaning systems, thus reducing energy consumption. Dzhusupova (2012) noted that mechanical filters with nano-fibre technology have a lifetime of about 6,000 hours.

Safety considerations

Mechanical filters with nano-fibre technology are effective in the loading and trapping of sub-micron particulates (e.g. exhaust soot) and larger dust particles. They are composed of glass free material and do not contain Boron.

Driver considerations

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace	TRL 8
Road tunnels	TRL 5-6

References/links	
------------------	--

Donaldson Filtration Solutions (n.d.) – Information about nano fibre technology (http://www2.donaldson.com/toritdce/en-gb/replacement-parts-services/pages/filter-media/ultra-web.aspx)

Dzhusupova (2012)

Elmarco (n.d.)

VA07 Ventilation & air quality: Jet fans	Jet Fan	
	Jet fan velocity profile (Adapted from SCS Group, n.d.)	
Description		
Jet fans discharge high velocity jets (as high as 40 ms ⁻¹) to induce longitudinal air flow in tunnels. Jet fans utilise the concept of momentum exchange whereby faster moving jets of air discharged from a fan exchange momentum with the slower moving surrounding airstream. This creates a pressure rise and therefore, causes it to accelerate.		
Energy efficiency benefit(s)		
Jet fans cost less than a comparable ducted system and when combined with CO detection, can reduce running unnecessarily, therefore increasing potential energy savings. Furthermore, jet fans do not have to compensate for losses of pressure in ducts.		
Safety considerations		
Jet fans take up less space compared to axial fans as they are grouped side by side in "banks" spaced at regular intervals. This also reduces cabling costs. Jet fans require fewer ancillary components such as connecting ductwork and dampers.		
Driver considerations		
Jet fans can be fitted with silencers to reduce noise.		
Technological readiness level (in relation to)		
General marketplace	TRL 9	
Road tunnels	TRL 9	
References/links		

Panasonic (n.d.) – http://panasonic.net/ecosolutions/air/tunnel/jetfan.html

Ray et al. (2008) - Application of jet fans in ventilation shafts

Tarada (n.d.) - http://www.ansys.com/About+ANSYS/ANSYS+Advantage+Magazine/Energy-Efficient+Tunnel+Ventilation



CETU (2010)

Nishimatsu Construction (2011) – Air purification system including denitrification in Japan (http://www.nishimatsu.co.jp/eng/solution/tech/kankyou/kankyouhozen.html

Panasonic (n.d.) – (<u>http://panasonic.net/ecosolutions/air/tunnel/denitrification.html</u>) PIARC (2008)



Abromaitis et al. (2011) – LCA study on biofiltration

Jin et al. (2009) - Biofilters used to treat CO polluted air

PPC Industries, Air Pollution Control Systems (2008) – General information about biofiltration (<u>http://www.ppcair.com/biofilter.php</u>)

VA10 Ventilation & air quality: Photo catalysis



TRL 8

Description

Photo catalytic paints for tunnel walls or photo catalytic concrete for the pavement can be used to improve the air quality within tunnels. In the presence of UV light, the photocatalytically active form of TiO_2 present at the surface is activated, enabling the abatement of pollutants (NO_x, SO_x, CO, C₆H₆ etc) in the air.

Energy efficiency benefit(s)

Photo catalysis is regarded as energy efficient because TiO_2 is not consumed in the process and the conversion of pollutants can take place as long as there is light. The pollutants can be removed from the surface by rain or washing with water every month. This reduces the need for traditional brush cleaning of walls which can be costly. Ravesloot (2012) conducted a CBA for the use of photocatalytic paint in the tunnel tubes in combination with improved lighting, and found that the return on investment would happen in four years due to less cleaning required.

Safety considerations

Photocatalytic applications can result in local reductions in the concentration of air pollutants from vehicles. Reductions of NO_2 in the order of 20 % have been witnessed. The effectiveness of photocatalytic applications has been affected in some studies by the following factors: deactivation of the photocatalytic material, UV light below targeted levels, high wind speed and high relative humidity.

Driver considerations

The utilisation of the photocatalytic paint/concrete with the UV light can lead to more favourable optimal characteristics of the tunnel walls for drivers.

Technological readiness level (in relation to)

General marketplace

Road tunnels	TRL 8

References/links

Booden and Beeldens (2014), Gallus et al. (2015) - Pilot project in Belgium

KNOxOUT (2015) – Pilot project in the Netherlands (http://aircleaningpaint.com/home.do)

Ravesloot (2012) – CBA of study in the Netherlands

3 Shortlisting process

The aim of the selection process was to reduce the 37 technologies identified from the wideranging review to four or five of the most promising ones for further analysis in WP2. This was achieved with the assistance of a group of tunnel experts from NRAs and operating companies during a day-long workshop held at TRL. A list of the attendees to the workshop is provided in Annex A.

3.1 Workshop format

The workshop agenda provided is in Box 3-1.

In the morning presentations, an introduction to the project was provided in presented together context with (a) the type and characteristics of the tunnels on the European TEN-T network and (b) relative energy use for the life cycle of a tunnel (initial construction and operation). Then the technologies that had been identified in the review were each described individually by the project team and discussed by the experts. The afternoon sessions consisted of exercises to produce a shortlist of technologies to take forward to an in-depth analysis later in the project.

Box 3-1 REETS WP1 workshop agenda

1000:	Introductions		
1010:	Project overview and objectives for the day		
1020:	Tunnels & the energy efficiency context		
1040:	1040: Group 1 technologies – energy provision (TRL)		
1100:	Brief discussion / feedback on Group 1		
1105:	Coffee break		
1125:	Group 2 technologies – lighting (DfL)		
1145:	5: Brief discussion / feedback on Group 2		
1150:	: Group 3 technologies – driver technology (AIT)		
1220:	220: Brief discussion / feedback on Group 3		
1225:	1225: Group 4 technologies – ventilation & air quality (TRL)		
1245:	1245: Brief discussion / feedback on Group 4 / additions to the overall list		
1300:	Lunch		
1340:	Shortlisting exercise		
1500:	1500: The project going forwards		
1520:	AOB		
1530:	Close (further discussion by project partners until 1600)		

3.2 Selection process

The selection process was threefold. Firstly, the reviewer for each group of technologies proposed a shortlist of three technologies based on their analysis. This produced the following list of technologies:

- EP06 Solar roofed tunnels
- EP07 Geothermal tunnel energy lining
- EP02 Higher supply voltage cable distribution system
- L02 LED lighting system
- L03 Transparent structures (for reducing threshold luminance)
- L08 Road surface materials
- DR02 Smart mobility tunnel
- DR04 Audio incident detection
- DR09 Intelligent speed adaptation
- VA01 Visibility air quality control systems
- VA07 Jet fans
- VA10 Photo catalysis

Each of these reviewer shortlists were then discussed at the workshop. Through discussion a consensus was reached and the shortlist modified. At the end of the morning session, the following list of ten technologies had been elicited:

- EP02 supply voltage high voltage distribution incorporating voltage optimisation, dynamic UPS and avoiding dynamic oscillation
- EP06 + EP08 renewables (solar, wind & water)
- EP03 + EP05 stop/start technology including soft start
- L02 + L05 LED lighting system and with adaptive controls
- L03 Reducing threshold luminance
- L08 Road surface materials
- DR02 Tunnel monitoring systems
- DR04 Audio incident detection systems
- SM01 Incentivising energy efficiency
- VA11 Jet fans (reduced used thereof e.g. by better control, trigger levels, sensor reaction, mist systems etc.)

Some entries on the list (EP06 + EP08; EP03 + EP05; and L02 + L05) were pairs of technologies that had previously been treated individually. It was logical to combine these together, as they addressed the same fraction of overall energy consumption. Also, as a result of discussion, two technologies were added to the shortlist (VA11 and SM01).

Workshop attendees were split into three sessions and asked to rate each of the ten technologies based on a matrix of perceived energy efficiency benefit *versus* risk. Risk was defined as a composite indicator that took account of:

- Cost: to deploy the technology. Would significant investment be required and at what risk?
- Applicability: To have widespread applicability, the technology should have the potential to be retrofitted to the existing tunnels rather than just new-build tunnels, as risk would be diluted by widespread application.
- Readiness to market: Is the technology readily deployable and proven, or is it only an idea or prototype that has the potential to hit snags before widespread deployment can proceed?

• Safety. Are there any doubts about the level of safety associated with the introduction of the technology?

Photographs of the three resultant matrices are presented in Annex A. Following discussion the three matrices were consolidated into a 'master' matrix to provide an agreed shortlist.

3.3 Shortlist of technologies to take forward

The five technologies identified to be taken forward to the next stage of assessment are:

- Reducing threshold luminance (L03) The aim of this initiative is to reduce the required lighting level in the threshold zone which, in turn, determines subsequent lighting levels in other zones. Feasible means might include: sun-tight screens, taut structures, darkening structure, and landscaping such as tree planting over portal. In essence this includes any means to darkened and/or reduce the percentage of sky and bright objects in a 20 degree field of view, as viewed from the stopping distance. The intention is to provide a lower L₂₀ measurement where this parameter forms the basis of the total lighting requirements.
- LED Lighting system and with adaptive controls (L02 + L05) This constitutes close control of the LED lighting system for the whole tunnel. LEDs are already widely deployed, and this further enhancement would mean that LEDs could be dimmed and switched instantly (or nearly so) thus allowing closer control. A point tabled at the workshop was a closed-loop control philosophy (currently control systems have no feedback loop) would allow for 'instant' adjustment to the outside ambient lighting conditions. The use of vehicle sensing could be explored as a closely-related application for low use 'mountain' tunnels.
- Tunnel monitoring systems (DR02) Systems are available for monitoring the environmental conditions within a tunnel; such as air quality, lighting, sump levels, and incidents. These systems can improve the level of safety and optimize the dayto-day operation and maintenance of a tunnel. Through exploitation of the data produced, tunnels can be operated more efficiently and thereby lower energy consumption. Monitoring systems can detect early performance degradation, and thereby reduce the risk and consequences of unexpected and/or undetected failures of systems and components that could compromise the safe operation of the tunnel.
- High voltage distribution incorporating voltage optimisation, dynamic UPS and avoiding dynamic oscillation (EP02) - The use of high voltage distribution systems can provide energy savings, but there is no direct interface with the user. The use of efficient UPS systems enables tunnel safety systems to operate in the event of a major power failure and also provide a limited amount of tunnel lighting.
- SM01 incentivising energy efficiency Through procurement policy and contractual conditions, NRAs can incentivise the efficient use of energy in assets operated by contractors. A stepwise approach to lower overall energy use is often desired. Such an approach can be promoted by encouraging suppliers to avoid unnecessary energy use and/or switch to more energy efficient technologies by offering financial incentives and/or through the tender assessment process.

4 Conclusions and next steps

This document provides details of the process undertaken to derive a shortlist of technologies that could improve operational energy efficiency in tunnels. It documents a wide-ranging review of potential technologies that could be used in tunnels, and can be used as a point of reference.

The next stage of this Project is to assess the shortlisted technologies. This will be achieved by considering the following:

- Full description: expand the short descriptions of the technologies provided so far. Document the scope of their use and evidence of deployment to date, in tunnel applications or otherwise. Determine operational characteristics, energy demand, and on/off cycles.
- Carbon reduction potential: assess this potential through measurement against an established baseline, across a typical tunnel lifetimes. To establish a current baseline, use will be made of data provided by NRAs on consumption attributed to different tunnel functions. A reduction potential will be derived for each technology.
- Cost: the cost of deployment will be determined by direct comparison to conventional technologies.
- Safety and user comfort: to check that current levels of these two essential characteristics are maintained or exceeded when a new technology is implemented, bespoke methodologies have been developed to assess these characteristics based on an objective and a subjective analysis respectively.

Following completion of the in-depth assessment, each shortlisted technology will be reappraised for its suitability for deployment through a series of theoretical case studies based on actual tunnels.

5 Acknowledgement

The research presented in this report was carried out as part of the CEDR Transnational Road Research Programme Call 2013. The funding for the research was provided by the national road administrations of Austria, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway, Slovenia and the United Kingdom.

6 References

Abromaitis V, Ochmanaite V, Denafas G and Martuzevicius D (2011) "LCA-based comparison of VOC removal from exhaust gases by plasma and "conventional" end-of-pipe methods", Environmental Engineering, The 8th International Conference, May 19-20, 2011, Vilnius, Lithuania

AMOG (2012) "M5 East tunnel filtration trial evaluation program – Review of operational performance", 22 February 2012, Roads and Maritime Services NSW

Anderson R W G, Doecke S D, Mackenzie J R R and Ponte G (2012). "Potential benefits of forward collision avoidance technology (CASR0106)" (p. 88). Centre for Automotive Safety Research, The University of Adelaide. Retrieved from <u>http://casr.adelaide.edu.au/publications/researchreports</u> (Last accessed: 13 May 2015)

Arnold P and Hoyland P (2011) "Constructing the Hindhead Tunnel", Ingenia online, Article – Issue 48, Sep 2011, retrieved from <u>http://www.ingenia.org.uk/ingenia/articles.aspx?Index=730</u> (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

ASFINAG (2010). "Akustisches Tunnelmonitoring – AKUT Die Weltweit Erste Pilotumsetzung ist in Betrieb". F&E Schriftenreihe der ASFINAG nr. 2.

Astucia Traffic Safety Systems (2010). "IRS2 Hardwired Intelligent Road Studs", Retrieved from <u>http://www.clearviewtraffic.com/images/products/56-irs2-hardwired-intelligent-road-studs_specsheet.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 29 April 2015)

Astucia (2010). Case Study – Astucia Hardwired Bi-Directional Studs installed in the Hinhead Tunnel. Retrieved from http://www.clearviewtraffic.com/images/case/16-astucia-hardwired-bi-directional-studs-installed-in-the-hindhead-tunnel_pdf.pdf. (Last accessed: 06 July 2015)

Astucia (2010). Case Study – Astucia IRS1 Intelligent Hardwired Road Studs installed to create contra-flow at Blackwall Tunnel. Retrieved from http://www.clearviewtraffic.com/images/case/26-blackwall-tunnel-with-astucia-road-studs_pdf.pdf (Last accessed: 06 July 2015)

E²SWITCH (2014) "Energy efficient tunnel FET switches and circuits", retrieved from<u>http://www.e2switch.org/ (</u>Last accessed: 02 July 2015)

BINE Information Service (2013) "Metro tunnels enable geothermal air-conditioning", retrieved from <u>http://www.bine.info/en/topics/renewable-energy-sources/geothermal-energy/publikation/klimatisieren-mit-erdwaerme-aus-u-bahn-tunneln/</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Boonen E and Beeldens A (2014) "Recent photocatalytic applications for air purification in Belgium", Coatings 4, p553-573

BOSCH (n.d.) "Starter motors and generators", retrieved from <u>http://products.bosch-mobility-</u> solutions.com/media/ubk_europe/db_application/downloads/pdf/antrieb/en_3/startstopptechn ikreduziertco2emissionenundspartkraftstoff.pdf (Last accessed: 14 July 2015) BUILD (n.d.) "Payback time for solar panels", retrieved from <u>http://www.build.com.au/payback-time-solar-panels</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Carrington D (2011) "High-speed Euro train gets green boost from two miles of solar panels", The Guardian, Monday 6 June 2011, retrieved from <u>http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2011/jun/06/tunnel-solar-belgium-rail</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Ceriotti M, Corrá M, D'Orazio L, Doriguzzi R, Facchin D, Gună S, Paolo Jesi G, Lo Cigno R, Mottola L, Murphy A L, Pescalli M, Pietro Picco G, Pregnolato D and Torghele C (2011) "Is there light at the ends of the tunnel? Wireless sensor networks for adaptive lighting in road tunnels", IPSN' 11, April 12-14, 2011, Chicago, Illinois

Cheung C C and Lai S (2014) "Cost-effective power supply scheme for tunnel booster fans in long tunnels" in Parsons Brinckerhoff, "Tunnel systems", Issue No.78, December 2014, p48-51

Curran M (2001) "Electrostatic precipitators and how they remove airborne particulate"

DETAIL (2011) "Heating energy from a tunnel", retrieved from <u>http://www.detail-online.com/architecture/topics/heating-energy-from-a-tunnel-019276.html</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Disano illuminaxione (2007) "Rome, "Umberto I" tunnel", retrieved from http://www.disano.it/GetPage.pub_do?id=402882820a31102d010a3b456fd90170&_JPFORC EDINFO=8a8a8ab7153b3edc01153c0b9449083e&language=ENG#sthash.GLsovFLr.quEzh LvA.dpbs (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

Donaldson Filtration Solutions (n.d.) "ULTRA-WEB®", retrieved from <u>http://www2.donaldson.com/toritdce/en-gb/replacement-parts-services/pages/filter-</u> media/ultra-web.aspx (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Driscoll R, Page Y, Lassarre S and Ehrlich J, "LAVIA - An Evaluation of the Potential Safety Benefits of the French Intelligent Speed Adaptation Project," presented at the 51st Annual Proceedings Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine, Melbourne, Australia, 2007.

Dzhusupova R (2012) "Zero energy tunnel-concept", Eindhoven University of Technology, retrieved from <u>http://www.stichtingkien.nl/Uploaded_files/Publicaties/Rapport-0-</u> energietunnelRimma-Dzhusupova240912Def.pdf (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

E²SWITCH (2014) "Energy efficient tunnel FET switches and circuits", <u>http://www.e2switch.org/</u> (Last accessed: 02 July 2015)

El Marco (n.d.) "Application note: Nanofibers for depth air filtration", retrieved from <u>http://www.elmarco.cz/upload/soubory/dokumenty/153-1-applicnote-gaf-130927-a4-72dpi.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

ERTRAC Task Force "Connectivity and Automated Driving". "Automated Driving Roadmap", 3rd Draft for public consultation, 16th February 2015. Retrieved from <u>http://www.ertrac.org/uploads/documentsearch/id35/ERTRAC_Automated-Driving_draft3-web.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 08 July 2015)

Fuji Electric Global (n.d.) "AC Electrostatic Precipitators for road tunnels", retrieved from <u>http://www.fujielectric.com/products/ventilations/index.html</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Gabriel A and Weyhausen A (2015) "Gentlemen, stop your engines!", Hydraulics and Pneumatics, retrieved from <u>http://hydraulicspneumatics.com/hydraulic-pumps-motors/gentlemen-stop-your-engines</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Gallus M, Akylas V, Barmpas F, Beeldens A, Boonen E, Boréave A, Cazaunau M, Chen H, Daele V, Doussin J F, Dupart Y, Gaimoz C, George C, Grosselin B, Herrmann H, Ifang S, Kurtenback R, Maille M, Mellouki A, Miet K, Mothes F, Moussiopoulos N, Poulain L, Rabe R, Zapf P and Kleffmann J (2015) "Photocatalytic de-pollution in the Leopold II tunnel in Brussels: NOx abatement results", Building and Environment 84, p125-133

GE Lighting (2003) "GE's Tunnel LED (Phased-out product) lights up the tunnels of Ankara's Celal Bayar Boulevard", retrieved from <u>http://www.gelighting.com/LightingWeb/emea/images/Ankaras-Celal-Bayar-Boulvard-LED-</u> <u>Tunnel-Press-release-EN_tcm181-44852.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

German Ministry of Economics and Technology (n.d) "Safety of life in tunnels (SOLIT): Engineering guidance for a comprehensive evaluation of tunnels with fixed fire fighting systems", retrieved from <u>http://www.fogtec-</u> international.com/PDF/solit/SOLIT_EG_Annex1_EN.pdf (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Gil-Martin L M, Peña-García A, Jiménez A and Hernández-Montes E (2014) "Study of lightpipes for the use of sunlight in road tunnels: From a scale model to real tunnels", Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology 41, p82-87

Guo S, Hu H, Wu L and Jiang S (2011) "Energy-saving tunnel illumination system based on LED's intelligent control", 3rd International Photonics and OptoElectronics Meetings (POEM 2010), Journal of Physics: Conference Series 276 (2011)

Hibberd D, Jamson H, Jamson S, Pauwelussen J, Obdeijn C, Stuiver A, Hof T, Weerdt C, Paradies G, Brignolo R, Barberi C, Iviglia A and Mazza M "ecoDriver – Multi-modal in-vehicle and nomadic device eco-driving support for car drivers". Deliverable 12.2, 7th Framework Programme, 2014

HMVT (n.d.) "Pulsed power", retrieved from <u>http://www.hmvt.nl/en/thema/pulsed-power-0</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

HMVT (n.d.) "Dommeltunnel Eindhoven", retrieved from http://www.hmvt.nl/en/project/dommeltunnel-eindhoven (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Hunter (2009). "greenMeter 2.0". User Manual and FAQ. Retrieved from <u>http://hunter.pairsite.com/greenmeter/manual/index.html</u>. (Last accessed: 08 July 2015)

Industrial Equipment News (n.d.) "Anticorrosion coatings pump up efficiency", Retrieved from <u>http://www.ien.com/article/anticorrosion-coatings-pump/4394</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Invertek Drives (n.d.) "Eco Optidrive[™] pump drive features", retrieved from <u>http://www.invertekdrives.com/ac-drive-sectors/pump-control/optidrive-eco.aspx</u>, (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Invertek Drives (n.d.) "Optidrive VTC keeps storm water at bay! Dublin Port Tunnel, Ireland", retrieved from <u>http://www.invertekdrives.com/solutions/case-study.aspx?caseStudyID=27</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Jin Y, Guo L, Veiga M C and Kennes C (2009) "Optimisation of the treatment of carbon monoxide-polluted air in biofilters", retrieved from <u>http://ruc.udc.es/bitstream/2183/13702/2/Veiga MariaCarmen 2009 CO biofilter.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Jootel P, Chan E, Ekfjorden A, Gidney J, Davila A, Brännström M, Skarin D and Wahlström L "SARTRE Safe Road Trains for the Environment", Final Report. 7th Framework Programme, 2013.

KNOxOUT (n.d.) "KNOxOUT air cleaning paint", retrieved from <u>http://aircleaningpaint.com/home.do</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Kulmala R, Leviakangas P, Sihvola N, Rama P, Francsics J, Hardman E, Ball S, Smith B, McCrae I, Barlow T, and Stevens A (2008) "CODIA – Co-Operative systems Deployment Impact Assessment", Final study Report, VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Sep. 2008.

Lai F and Lai F (2012) "How much benefit does Intelligent Speed Adaptation deliver: An analysis of its potential contribution to safety and environment," Accident Analysis & Prevention, vol. 48, no. Intelligent Speed Adaptation, p. 82, Sep. 2012.

Malone K. "Impact Assessment Overview". Drive C2X project, TNO (Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research"), July 2014. Retrieved from <u>http://www.drive-c2x.eu/tl_files/publications/Final%20event/05_Impact%20Assessment_Kerry%20Malone.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 08 July 2015)

Mišák S, Šnobl J and Dostál F (2012) "Power solutions for emergency lighting of tunnels, underpasses and ecoduct", Przeglad Elektrotechniczny (Electrical Review)

Mocanu I, Nitsche P, Deix, S, Malone K, Hopkin J, and Ball S (2012). "COBRA – Impact Assessment", Deliverable 3.1, 2012

Modiri N and Noferesti Z (2010) "Tehran resalat tunnel innovations", 5th International Conference 'Tunnel Safety and Ventilation' 2010, Graz

Mukundrajan R, Cotter M, Saripalli V, Irwin M.J, Datta S and Narayanan V, "Ultra Low Power Circuit Design Using Tunnel FETs" in VLSI (ISVLSI), 2012 IEEE Computer Society Annual Symposium, Amherst, Massachusetts, 19-21 August 2012, IEEE

Nanowerk (2013) "New tunnel FET architecture shows potential for substantial performance improvements", Retrieved from <u>http://www.nanowerk.com/news2/newsid=31881.php</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Navada S G and Adiga C S (2011) "Applications of fuzzy-FPGA systems and lighting control systems – A review", 2011 International Conference on Computer Applications and Network-Security (ICCANS 2011)

Nicholson D P, Chen Q, de Silva M, Winter A and Winterling R (2014) "The design of thermal tunnel energy segments for Crossrail, UK", Engineering Sustainability 167 (ES3), p 118-134

Nishimatsu Construction (2011) "Nishimatsu's air-purification system: A mechanical air purification system performing high efficiency cleaning of NO_x and SPM"

Panasonic (n.d.) "Denitrification system", retrieved from <u>http://panasonic.net/ecosolutions/air/tunnel/denitrification.html</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Panasonic (n.d.) "Jet fan system", retrieved from <u>http://panasonic.net/ecosolutions/air/tunnel/jetfan.html</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Peña García A, Gil-Martin L M, Espin Estrella A and Aznar Dols F (2010) "Energy saving in road tunnels by means of transparent tension structures", International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ10), Granada, Spain, 23rd to 25th March, 2010

Phillips (n.d.) "Lundbytunnel, Gothenburg, Sweden", retrieved from <u>http://www.lighting.philips.com/main/cases/cases/tunnel/lundbytunnel.html</u> (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

PIARC (2008) "Road tunnels: A guide to optimising the air quality impact upon the environment", PIARC Technical Committee C3.3 Road Tunnel Operations

PlasTEP (Plasma for Environmental Protection) (n.d.) "Comparison of selected plasma technologies", retrieved from <u>http://www.plastep.eu/fileadmin/dateien/Outputs/Comparison_of_selected_plasma_technolog</u> ies.pdf (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

PPC (Air pollution control systems) (2008) "Biofilters and biofiltration: VOC control, odor control and MACT compliance", retrieved from <u>http://www.ppcair.com/biofilter.php</u> (Last accessed: 14 July, 2015)

Premier Construction (2011) "Belgian solar tunnel is an international milestone", retrieved from <u>http://premierconstructionnews.com/2011/10/28/belgian-solar-tunnel-is-an-international-milestone/</u> (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

Raphael E, Kiefer R, Reisman P and Hayon G, "Development of a Camera-Based Forward Collision Alert System", SAE International, April 2011

Ravesloot C M (2011) "Catalytic tunnel: Cost benefit analysis for applying photocatalytic technology in the Maastunnel Rotterdam", retrieved from <u>http://www.cristalactiv.com/uploads/speaker/Cost Benefit Analysis for Applying Photocatal ytic Technology in the Maastunnel Christoph Maria Ravesloot.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Ray R E, Gilbey M J and Kumar P (2008) "The application of vertically-mounted jet fans in ventilation shafts for a rail overbuild", 12th U.S./North American Mine Ventilation Symposium

Regan M A, Triggs T J, Young K L, Tomasevic N, Mitsopoulos E, Stephan K, and Tingvall C "On-Road Evaluation of Intelligent Speed Adaptation, Following Distance Warning and Seatbelt Reminder Systems: Final Results of the TAC SafeCar Project", Volume 1: Final Report," Monash University Accident Research Centre, Sep. 2006. Renault Vehicule Industrielle, Telecom Italia Lab, Societa Italiana Traforo Autostradale del Frejus FIAT Engineering, TÜV Kraftfahrt GmbH, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Societe Fraicaise du Tunnel Rutier du Frejus, Ente per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e l'Ambiente (ENEA). "SAFE-TUNNEL – Innovative systems and frameworks for enhancing of traffic safety in road tunnels", Final Report. 5th RTD Framework Programme, 2005.

Rexroth Bosch Group (2013) "Start-stop construction machines", retrieved from <u>http://www.boschrexroth.com/en/xc/company/press/index2-2567</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Ridden P (2011) "Work starts on world's largest solar bridge at Blackfriars", gizmag, retrieved from <u>http://www.gizmag.com/blackfriars-solar-railway-bridge/20057/</u> (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

Robertson Technology (n.d.) "Pump performance testing", retrieved from <u>http://www.robertson.technology/#!energy-savings/c15hr</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Rockwell Automation (2012) "Soft starter technology: Applying SMC's to maximise investments and energy efficiency", PowerPoint presentation, 59pp

Schild P (n.d.) "Horizon 2020 calls – overview", retrieved from <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/conferences/2013/energy_infoday/pdf/session_3_summary_of_t</u> <u>he_calls_open_in_2014_-_philippe_schild.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 31 July 2014)

Sensata Technologies (2012). "Twilight Sensor – Automatic Headlight Control". Retrieved from <u>http://www.sensata.com/download/twilight.pdf</u>. (Last accessed: 06 July 2015)

Schneider Electric. "SmartMobility[™] Tunnel & Bridge The Ultimate Tunnel & Bridge Management Solution". Retrieved from <u>http://www.schneider-</u> <u>electric.com/solutions/ww/en/med/28882262/application/pdf/1666 smartmobility tunnel brid</u> <u>ge_2012.pdf</u>. (Last accessed: 04 May 2015)

SICK Sensor Intelligence (n.d.) "VICOTEC320 Air Quality Tunnel Sensors: To control ventilation in road tunnels", retrieved from <u>https://www.sick.com/media/pdf/0/70/670/IM0018670.PDF</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Solar Century (n.d.) "Blackfriars: taking it to the bridge", retrieved from <u>http://www.solarcentury.com/uk/case-studies/blackfriars/</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Tamburo R, Nurvitadhi E, Chugh A, Chen M, Rowe A, Kanade T and Narasimhan S "Programmable Automotive Headlights" in Computer Vision – ECCV 2014, 13th European Conference Proceedings part IV, p. 750-765, 6-12 September 2014, Zurich Switzerland.

Tarada F (n.d) "Energy-efficient tunnel ventilation", ANSYS, Advantage (Excellence in Engineering Simulation), retrieved from <u>http://www.ansys.com/About+ANSYS/ANSYS+Advantage+Magazine/Energy-</u> <u>Efficient+Tunnel+Ventilation</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Traffic Wales (2015) "A55 Conwy Eastbound Tunnel Improvement Works - January & February 2015", retrieved from <u>http://www.traffic-</u> wales.com/VoyagerNews.aspx?NEWSID=1704 (Last accessed: 15 July 2015) Trommer S and Höltl A, "A study on perceived usefulness of Eco Driving Assistant Systems in Europe". eCoMove project, presented at the ITS Europe Congress, DLR Institute of Transport Research, 2011.

TRT Lighting (2015) "Road Tunnel Lighting", retrieved from <u>http://www.trtlighting.co.uk/products/tunnel-lighting.php</u> (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

Tunnels Study Centre (CETU) (2010) "The treatment of air in road tunnels: State-of-the-art of studies and works", retrieved from <u>http://www.cetu.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/CETU_DocInfo_Air_treatment_EN_2011.pdf</u> (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Vashitz G, Shinar D and Blum Y, "In-vehicle information systems to improve traffic safety in road tunnels". in Transport Research Part F, no. 11, p. 61-74, 2008.

Vitra Group (n.d.) "Tunnel lining overview", retrieved from <u>http://www.vitragroup.com/tunnel-lining-overview-2/</u> (Last accessed: 15 July 2015)

Vuorisalo M (2008) "Implementation of Water Mist Systems in Road Tunnels Project Case Studies", retrieved from

http://www.academia.edu/8088250/Implementation_of_Water_Mist_Systems_in_Road_Tunn els_Project_Case_Studies (Last accessed: 14 July 2015)

Xia W (2002) "Polymer coating of pumps boosts efficiency, performance", WaterWorld 18(1) p.1

Zeng H, Qiu J, Shen X, Dai G, Liu P and Le S (2011) "Fuzzy control of LED tunnel lighting and energy conservation", Tsinghua Science and Technology 16(6), p576-582

Name	Affiliation
Stuart Beale	Highways England
Kiran Desai	Connect Plus
Gerhard Eberl	ASFINAG
Andy Mack	Connect Plus
Isabela Mocanu	AIT
Johan Naber	Rijkswaterstaat
Philippe Nitsche	AIT
James Peeling	TRL
John Potter	Mouchel
John Rands	Designs for Lighting
Sarah Reeves	TRL
Steve Ryan	Transport for London
Helen Viner	TRL
Matt Wayman	TRL
Russell Williams	Highways England

Annex A: Workshop attendees



Annex B: Matrices from the selection process

