

Final Event CEDR Call 2018 BIM

Project CoDEC Connected Data for Effective Collaboration

Day 1 - 24th May 2022

Stockholm



CEDR Call 2018 BIM

Project CoDEC

1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

6. Project Recommendations & Dissemination Events (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

Jelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (ZAG), Jose' Baraterio (LNEC) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

All



1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

6. Project Recommendations & Dissemination Events (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

Jelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (ZAG), Jose Baraterio (LNEC) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

All



CoDEC Project Overview





CoDEC Project Overview

Challenges for the Road Authorities





CoDEC Project Overview

Key aspirations of CEDR Call 2018

To develop a method so that asset data is..

Accessible: not trapped in a certain application

Interoperable: exchanged between stakeholders





Integrable: can be connected to and from different sources

Publishable: connected in a structured manner based on international standards



CoDEC Project Overview

Objective of the CEDR call 2018



OBJECTIVES STATED in the CALL :

DoRN C: How to benefit from scanning/censoring data to enrich asset management systems with legacy data.

DoRN D: How to combine the strength of traditional techniques with the strength of Interlink approach based on Linked Data/ semantic web techniques

DoRN E : How to engage software industry to align their roadmap for development with the needs of CEDR members



CoDEC Project Overview

What CoDEC has Delivered





CoDEC Project Overview

CoDEC Deliverables

Work Package	Description		Deliverables
WP0	Project Co-ordination	D0.2A-H	Technical Progress Reports
		D0.4	Final Project Report
WP1	Develop Master Data Dictionary	D1A	Literature Review on Legacy Data and the Data
	(MDD) for Legacy Data		Dictionary
		D1B	CoDEC Data Dictionary
WP2	Develop Master Data Dictionary (MDD) for Sensor/Scanner Data	D2A	Review of sensor technologies and their application
		D2B	CoDEC Data Dictionary
WP3	Applied Research through Pilot	D3A	Pilot projects report and consolidated implementation
	Projects		resources
WP4	Stakeholder Engagement	D4A	Stakeholder Engagement Report
WP5	Dissemination	D5A	Dissemination Plan



1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

6. Project Recommendations & Dissemination Events (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

Jelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (ZAG), Jose' Baraterio (LNEC) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

All



Directors of Roads

Stakeholders Engagement

Objective:

To facilitate engagement between stakeholders with the aim to align future strategies and direction around BIM

Stakeholders:

Road Authorities: NRAs and CEDR Software companies: AMS and BIM

Process:

- 1. Online Survey for NRAs
- 2. Online Surveys for Software Industry
- 3. 1-1 Interviews with NRAs
- 4. 1-1 Interviews with Software Industry
- 5. Consultations with Implementation partners
- 6. Workshops with PEB and NRAs



Stakeholders Engagement

Stakeholders Engagement : Survey





Stakeholders Engagement

Stakeholders Engagement : Selection of NRA Responses





Stakeholders Engagement

Key points from Stakeholder Engagement Task

- Do NRAs need to get to a higher level with BIM Key questions: What higher level? Why do NRAs need that level?
- Expectations for the integration of BIM into daily NRA work Change management might be needed to make organizations and people more competent
- Benefits for NRAs through the implementation of BIM There is a need to systematically increase awareness about BIM on NRA and companies' sides
- Benefits of the integration of AMS with the BIM software ... more consistency, more effective solutions, consideration of strategic goals...
- Risks and challenges in the integration of AMS with the BIM software Focus too much on data modelling and not on the objectives of the AMS / PMS
- What is needed from NRAs to better engage the software industry ... systematically increased awareness about BIM. NRAs are to mandate the use of BIM and open standards
- What are the risks and benefits for the two sectors to connect / get involved It is essential to provide clear expectations from both sectors and to align them



Stakeholders Engagement

Key outcomes from Stakeholder Engagement Task

- CoDEC selection of 3 main asset types for the research (...asset types you are most interested in...) Road, Bridge and Tunnel
- Focus of research (...one aspect to improve...) Condition data for Tunnel and Bridge, Inventory data for Road
- Decided and agreed on 3 Implementation partner to support and work with the CoDEC research Team AWV / Belgium , RWS / The Netherlands, and FTIA / Finland
- Crucial role of Implementation partners Necessary data for research a detailed 3D model of a tunnel, risk / condition / sensor data; Own and real needs and expectations
- Working hand in hand with Implementation partners Multi step collaboration process shaping focus of the research, discussions on the way to go, evaluation of intermediate results – led to variety of valuable and satisfying project outcomes



Stakeholders Engagement

Key recommendations from Stakeholder Engagement Task

CODEC GUIDELINE TO ALIGN VISIONS AND AGENDAS...

... of the two main actors involved in the research:

- Make the decision to move to an appropriate level with BIM
- Common language define the level of detail (LOD) ... in line with the NRAs strategy
- Once we know what both sides want ... establish bi-directional communication
- Train the workforce at NRAs
- Define business processes in a common framework
- Standardization? NRAs to mandate the use of BIM and open data standards



1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

6. Project Recommendations & Dissemination Events (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

Jelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (ZAG), Jose' Baraterio (LNEC) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

All



CoDEC Data Dictionary

 Objectives: Provide a structured unified framework for data Easily understandable by asset managers/asset owners Repeatable and extendable in future Include "Legacy Data" and "New Data" from sensors 					
 Process: Literature Review of Existing Data Dictionary developed/used by NRAs Past/ongoing research Supplemented information from stakeholder interviews Consortium's extensive knowledge on Asset Management process 	 Is built on: Data Dictionary for tunnels and bridges by AM4INFRA,2018 The Highways England UK-ADMM Data Dictionary (Highways England, 2020) Data Standard for Road Management and Investment in Australia and New Zealand (DSRMI, for tunnels) (Austroads, 2019) and ifcRoad (buildingSMART, 2020) 				



CoDEC Data Dictionary

How does the CoDEC Data Dictionary help creating/improving the connection between BIM and AMS ?

It provides a logical hierarchical system of data & metadata written in plain language

- to build "Data Queries" between Asset Management System and BIM
- based on the well-defined structure of legacy data within AMS and IFC Standard
- provides the list of data types and the connection to meta data for creating an Object Type Library (OTL) for the BIM platform
- helps both the Asset Managers and Software developers to translate the asset data into "Linked Data Environments" for a successful data integration process.
- The format is adaptable by different Organisations and easily extendable in future to cater new data types

CoDEC Data Dictionary is Implementable, Extendable and Future Proofed



CoDEC Data Dictionary

CoDEC Data Dictionary Structure





CoDEC Data Dictionary

Static Data Sample from the CoDEC Data Dictionary

This section defines the properties needed to describe Assets (Entities) and Asset Components (Elements)										
Entity Class	≠ ⊽	Entity Sub-(Class 🚈 🔽	Entity Types		Element Types	ž=	∇	Property Class 🛛 🚝 🔽	7
	V- 1X			Enary Types V.			·-			
Road entities		Bridges		Road sections		Kerb and traffic s	separatio	î.	Identification	
Drainage and was	ste	Carriageway	/S	Bridge deck systems		Lanes			Location	
Electrical power a	and	Cycle pathw	ays	Bridges		Pavement layer			Physical	
Land managed en	ntities	Drainage an	d wastewa	Cycle pathway sections		Pavements			Time and Money	
Structures		Footpaths		Drainage and wastewater collec		Road studs				
		Land manag	ed entities	Earthworks		Soft shoulders			-	
	-	Lighting		Electro-mechanical		Traffic signage a	nd markin		-	
		Tunnels		Fire-fighting system		Abutment Wall			-	
				Footpath sections	•	Approach Emban	kment	v	-	
			Objects							Properties
Entity Class	Totite (Sub Class	T Entity Types	Z Element Tunor	T Dress				Z Duonorty Definition	
Road entities	Carrian	SUD-CId55	Road section	s Road studs	Iden	ntification	Component t	nie vne l	ID Unique identifier for	the road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Iden	ntification	Pavement se	ction	ID Unique reference ide	ntifier for pavement section
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Iden	ntification	Lane ID		Unique reference ide	ntifier for lane section
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Phys	sical	Geometry typ)e	How the geometry o	f the asset/component is represented - a line segment
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Phys	sical	Marking leng	th	The actual distance b	petween the start and end position defining the road studs interval
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	Latitude (Sta	rt)	Latitude coordinate,	at the start of the road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	Longitude (S	tart)	Longitude coordinate	e, at the start of the road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	Altitude (Star	t)	Altitude, at the start	of the road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	Latitude (End	i)	Latitude coordinate,	at the end of the road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	Longitude (E	nd)	Longitude coordinate	e, at the end of the road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	Altitude (End)	Altitude, at the end o	of the road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	Start chainag	e	Start chainage of the	e road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Loca	ation	End chainage	9	End chainage of the	road studs
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Phys	sical	Class		Road studs class (e.	g. prohibitory, warning, informatory, etc.)
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Phys	sical	Colour		Colour of the road st	uds
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Time	e and Money	Construction	date	The date of road stu	ds installation
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Phys	sical	Contractor		The details of the Co	ntractor who had the responsibility to execute the works
Road entities	Carriag	eways	Road section	s Road studs	Phys	sical	Commissione	r	Name of the respons	ible for the commissioning of the road studs



CoDEC Data Dictionary

Dynamic Data Sample from the CoDEC Data Dictionary

This section defines th	ne properties r	needed to descr	ribe Sensors						
Object Sub-Class 🔅 📡	Property Type	¥ 7	Property Name 🛛 🎘	8					
Fixed-location sensors	Classifiers		Altitude (End)	<u>^</u>					
Mobile sensors	Identifiers		Altitude (Start)						
(blank)	Leastice		Array/Network description						
(Didnity)	Location		Amery/Network ID						
-			Array/Network ID						
			Array/Network name						
-			Asset type						
			Asset type(s)						
-			Component type						
-			Coordinate reference system						
			Coordinate reference system	▼					
	Objects				Properties			Unit	
Object Class	💌 Objec	t Sub-Class	Property Type	Property Name	Property Definition	🔽 Data Requirement	Formats	(type)	Cons
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Identifiers	Array/Network ID	Unique sensor array/network ID	Conditional	String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Identifiers	Array/Network name	A meaningful name for the sensor array/network		String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Identifiers	Array/Network description	Plain-text description of the sensor array/network		String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Identifiers	Sensor ID	Unique sensor ID	Mandatory	String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Identifiers	Sensor Name	A meaningful name for the sensor		String	L	
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Identifiers	Sensor Description	Plain-text description of the sensor		String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Identifiers	Manufacturer	The name of the manufacturer of the sensor		String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Classifiers	Sensor Class	Class of sensor		String		List
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Classifiers	Sensor Type	Type of sensor (more specific than class)		String		List
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment		Classifiers	Intended Application	Description of the intended applciation (use) of the sensor		String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Classifiers	Sensor Standard(s)	Standard(s) relevant to the sensor type		String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Classifiers	Asset type(s)	The type(s) of asset for which the data is collected		String		List
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Coordinate reference system	Name/ID for the coordinate reference system used	0.11	String	L	List
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Latitude (Start)	Easting coordinate of start point	Conditional	Decimal		-
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Longitude (Start)	Northing coordinate of start point	Conditional	Decimal		_
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Altitude (Start)	Altitude of start point	Conditional	Decimal		-
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Langitudo (End)	Easting coordinate of end point	Conditional	Decimal		
Monitoring and surveying equip	nment Fixed-	ocation sensors	Location	Altitude (End)	Altitude of end point	Condicional	Decimal	_	-
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Section ref. label	Unique ID of the network section to which the sensor is associated for th	e Conditional	String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Lane	Lane of the section to which the sensor is associated for the purposes of	Conditional	String		
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Start chainage	The along carriageway position corresponding to the beginning of a linear	r or Conditional	Decimal	Distance	
Monitoring and surveying equip	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	End chainage	The along carriageway position corresponding to the termination of a line polygon asset as measured within the section	aar or Conditional	Decimal	Distance	
Monitoring and surveying equin	pment Fixed-l	ocation sensors	Location	Offset (section centreline)	Lateral position defined by numerical offset from the section centreline		Decimal	Distance	
← → Title Page Data	a Dictionary Structure	e Entities and Elen	nents Sensors Sensor Data	Example of Dynamic Data set FAQs	(+) : (
									C



1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

6. Project Recommendations & Dissemination Events (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Dr. Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

Jelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (Zag) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

All



CoDEC Ontology

CoDEC Ontology Development Process

- CoDEC Ontology Development
- CoDEC's approach
- Technical architecture
- Test Concept



CoDEC Ontology Development

CoDEC

Ontology Development Process

- Data Dictionary as the input
- Concepts (Class), Relationships (Object Property), and Attributes (Data Property)
- Does it exist in EurOTL?
- If it does not, extend EurOTL concepts!





Linked data technologies, such as **ontologies**, are used to encode asset and sensor data in a formal, comprehensible, and explicit way.

Mapping CoDEC data dictionary to EUROTL ontology (extended if needed).

	Data Dictionary			Ontology	
Property	Description	Format	Domain	Object/Data	Range
				Property	
Bridge ID	The unique reference identifier for bridge	String	bridgeID	is-a	Bridge
Bridge name	The name of the bridge	String	bridgeID	rdfs:label	xsd:string
Environment	Classification of surrounding environment	String	bridgeID	inEnvironment	xsd:string
	(e.g. Rural/Urban)		_		_
Region/District/Area	Relevant geographical situation	String	bridgeID	prov:atLocation	eurotl:LocationByI
_		-	_	-	dentifier
Owner	Owner of the asset	String	bridgeID	hasOwner	prov:Agent
					(person or Org.)

"Extensions" are "EUROTL sub-classes": guarantied operability CoDEC/EUROTL.



Integration of real data (using the ontology, API, etc.) – necessary step toward CoDEC pilot projects.

- 1. Ontology is populated with real data instances.
- Data are made available in a linked data environment.
 CoDEC uses GraphDB = a database that follows RDF and SPARQL.
- 3. Data are then made accessible through the CoDEC API.





CoDEC Ontology Development

CoDEC Ontology includes:

- Structural Elements
 (Tunnel and Bridge Elements)
- Properties related to structure and structural elements representation
- Pavement Sections and Layers
- Risk and Condition Data (SSN Ontology extension)





CoDEC Approach

A layered architecture allows modifications to the linked data structures without affecting external applications.





CoDEC Approach





Technical Architecture





Test Concept





Test Concept





Test Concept

Conference of European Directors of Roads





1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

6. Project Recommendations & Dissemination Events (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

Jelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (ZAG), Jose' Baraterio (LNEC) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

All



Pilot Projects

Pilot Projects' overview

Objectives:

- To demonstrate that the CoDEC solution is implementable for different Asset Types
- To demonstrate how integration of different data sets in one system can improve decision making.

Pilot projects :

- **Pilot Project 1**: Integration and 3D visualisation of sensor data in a BIM Model of a **Tunnel**
- **Pilot Project 2**: Linking and visualizing condition data with a **Bridge** BIM model
- **Pilot Project 3**: Enhancing legacy data by linking the BIM model of a **Road** to a GIS

Implementation Partners:

- PPI AWV (Belgian-Flemish NRA);
- PP2- RWS (Dutch NRA)
- PP3- FTIA (Finnish NRA)



Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 1 : Tunnel Asset





Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 1 : Process Highlights





Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 1 : Key Challenges

- Specific challenges of PP1 relate to advanced visualisation of sensor data.
- How to actually visualize the sensor data to show condition obtained from 'real time' measurements? We determined specific elements (wall panels) for the purpose and developed an automated process to apply sensor values to colour the appearance of elements using user pre-defined colour scheme.
- How to visualize data on elements located between consecutive single point sensors? We developed a process to interpolate sensor data.
- How to view sensor data across time? We developed timeline functionality for that.







Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 1 : Key achievements

- With PP1, we demonstrate not only that one can visualize external sensor/monitoring data alongside a (tunnel) 3D model, but also that one can query multiple data via a ready-made API.
- We have taken a step forward in visualizing sensor/monitoring data on 3D model elements of the tunnel, including simple interpolation of data along "non-sensor" tunnel regions; and "timeline" functionality that allows sensor data to be viewed across time.





Pilot Project 1 : Demo in Bexel Manager





Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 2 : Bridge Asset



- Enhance BIM model of a bridge with CoDEC OTL
- Link BIM model with risk and condition data
- Be able to query the data (CoDEC API)
- 3D visualisation of the entire BIM model, exploring risk and condition data





Conference of European Directors of Roads





Pilot Project 2 : Key Challenges

- How to connect risk and condition data (managed by external systems) with the BIM model? Using the CoDEC ontology and ifcOWL.
- How to model risk and condition data? Using Semantic Sensor Network (SSN).
- How to integrate linked data into a 3D BIM environment hiding the internal complexity of ontologies? CoDEC API with specific services to access and manipulate the ontology.
- How to visualize risk and condition data in a 3D environment? Bexel manager Add-in uses the CoDEC API to provide an integrated environment where users can browse and navigate through BIM elements and risk and condition data.





Pilot Project 2 : Key Achievements

- Visualize Risk and Condition data alongside a (bridge) 3D model
- API can be used to query multiple data
- Use ifcOWL to map BIM with other ontologies (including CoDEC ontology)
- Extension with other ontologies:
 - Semantic Sensor Network (SSN) Ontology (used for Risk and Condition data)
- Flexible and layered solution that can be extended to include new concepts (ontology), new analytical queries and reasoning (API) and new visualization capabilities (visualization tool with BIM data connected to linked data)



Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 2 : Demo in Bexel Manager





Pilot Project 3 : Road Asset

Current situation: BIM models can contain a lot of useful information about road assets. However, roads are primarily managed in GIS-based systems in the operational phase. Currently there are few practical links between the two "worlds" of BIM and GIS.

We want to: link useful data from BIM models to road asset records in GISbased Asset Management Systems, using CoDEC tools and methodology.

Main benefits:

- Enhancing legacy data with new data
- Making data available in the platform where assets are primarily managed
- A key enabling step for full digital twins in Highways Asset Management

These objectives are completely aligned with those of our implementation partner (FTIA)



Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 3 : Process Highlights





Directors of Roads

Pilot Projects

Pilot Project 3 : Key Challenges

- How to accurately map location of object in BIM to equivalent in GIS?
- How to ensure we can extract 'useful' data from BIM models (gradient, crossfall etc. 3D information)?
- How to integrate IFC Road (which is not finalised yet) into our methodology?





Pilot Project 3 : Key Achievements

- Successfully solved the specific technical challenges arising from linking from BIM to GIS
- Developed a method for accurately mapping location of objects in BIM to a linear alignment in GIS
- Developed methods for calculating geometric properties of BIM objects and making them available for linking
- Demonstrated the use of the CoDEC ontology for successfully linking data between BIM and GIS





Pilot Project 3 : Demonstration





Pilot Projects' Key recommendations

- Structure and organize heterogeneous data from multiple sources? Use CoDEC ontology aligned with reference ontologies (e.g., Semantic Sensor Network) and Road OTL ensuring alignment and being able to build on top of existing ontology instances.
- Integrate data in a BIM environment, in an accessible, scalable and independent way (allowing interoperability with any BIM environment)? CoDEC API to create an abstraction layer for access (reading and writing) to data described by the CoDEC ontology. Provides Technological independence / Reduced complexity / Easy scalability and extension of services / Easy scalability and extension of the ontology / easy testing and validation .



1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

6. Project Outcome and Recommendations (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

lelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (ZAG), Jose Baraterio (LNEC) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Al



Project Outcome and Recommendations

Challenges

Challenges:

- BIM models are not created to accommodate asset data automatically
- BIM standards are not developed with the knowledge and aspects of Asset Management
- There is no standard way to define data from new technologies to easily connect to Asset Management or to BIM
- IFC standards are not equipped to cater Asset Management data or the new sensor data.
- Software stakeholders are not that keen to share knowledge/collaborate



Project Outcome and Recommendations





CoDEC Recommendations

CoDEC recommendations are:

- **Encourage collaboration** between asset owners, standardisation bodies (ISO and IFC) and the software technology industry to understand the practical needs of asset managers/owners.
- **Simplify level of detail within BIM models**: BIM model designers develop elements with the appropriate level of detail for visualisation
- Normalisation and standardisation of conventions and nomenclature: BIM solution manufacturers provide advanced filtering mechanisms for generating ifcOWL from BIM models.
- **Automation**: Whilst the current solution is adequate, it requires effort in data synchronization with distinct data sources that limits a fully automated method. Automating all steps in the process would increase the ability to exploit the results of the CoDEC project allowing a real-time approach.



CoDEC Dissemination



CoDEC Presentation and Publications

- 30th International Baltic Road Conference, August 2021, Riga, Latvia.
- 18th World Meeting of the International Road Federation, Nov 2021, Dubai.
- German EU Council, the Federal Ministry of Transport and Digital Infrastructure (BMVI) conference for "Open Data for Smart Mobility in Europe, Jan 2022
- TRA 2022 Lisbon (Nov 2022)
- OCW mededelingen/Bulletin du CRR published by BRRC
- FEHRL Infrastructure Research Meeting FIRM2021, 2022
- Institute of Asset Management, UK, Nov 2021
- PIARC Routes/Roads magazine special issue, 2022



1.CoDEC Project overview (10min)

2. Stakeholders Engagement (5min)

3. CoDEC Data Dictionary (10min)

4. CoDEC Data Ontology (10min)

5. Pilot Projects (30 min)

 6. Project Recommendations & Disseminations (5 min)

7. Feedback & Questions (20min)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Darko Kokot (WP4 Lead, ZAG)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

Jose' Baraterio (WP 3 Lead, LNEC)

Jelena Petrovic' (BEXEL), Darko Kokot (ZAG), Jose' Baraterio (LNEC) and Shubham Bhusari (RHDHV)

Sukalpa Biswas (Project Co-Ordinator, TRL)

All



Feedback and Questions









Connected Data for Effective Collaboration

THANK YOU!

